

IEEE Standards Interpretations for IEEE Std 1003.2™-1992 IEEE Standard for Information Technology--Portable Operating System Interfaces (POSIX®)-- Part 2: Shell and Utilities

Copyright © 1996 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 3 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016-5997 USA All Rights Reserved.

Interpretations are issued to explain and clarify the intent of a standard and **do not** constitute an alteration to the original standard. In addition, interpretations are not intended to supply consulting information. Permission is hereby granted to download and print one copy of this document. Individuals seeking permission to reproduce and/or distribute this document in its entirety or portions of this document must contact the IEEE Standards Department for the appropriate license. Use of the information contained in this document is at your own risk.

IEEE Standards Department, Copyrights and Permissions, 445 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855-1331, USA

Interpretation Request #73

Topic: extended regular expressions **Relevant Clauses:** 2.8.4

Subclause 2.8.4 of POSIX.2 specifies the syntax and semantics of Extended Regular Expressions. Subclause 2.8.4.6 describes the effects of anchoring EREs, including ERE subexpressions. The language of this subclause seems to clearly indicate that within ERE subexpressions but outside of bracket expressions the caret and the dollar sign are always treated as anchors. The issue on which I would like an interpretation has to do with nested anchored subexpressions. Are such subexpressions valid? For example, is the ERE `^(^a$($` a valid expression, and does it match a single line containing only the character "a"?

Interpretation Response

The rules specified in 2.4.8.3 item 1 and 2.8.4.6 clearly state that this is a valid ERE and as suggested shall match the character "a". Conforming implementations must conform to this.

Rationale for Interpretation

None.