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Instructions
- Instructions on how to fill out this form are shown in red. It is recommended to leave the instructions in the final document and simply add the requested information where indicated.
- Shaded Text indicates a placeholder that should be replaced with information specific to this ICAID, and the shading removed.
- Completed forms, in Word format, or any questions should be sent to the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Industry Connections Committee (ICCom) Administrator at the following address: industryconnections@ieee.org.
- The version number above, along with the date, may be used by the submitter to distinguish successive updates of this document. A separate, unique Industry Connections (IC) Activity Number will be assigned when the document is submitted to the ICCom Administrator.

1. Contact
Provide the name and contact information of the primary contact person for this IC activity. Affiliation is any entity that provides the person financial or other substantive support, for which the person may feel an obligation. If necessary, a second/alternate contact person’s information may also be provided.

Name: Samuel Klein
Email Address: meta.sj@gmail.com
Employer: Knowledge Futures Group
Affiliation: Wikidata, Underlay Project

IEEE collects personal data on this form, which is made publicly available, to allow communication by materially interested parties and with Sponsors and Activity officers who are responsible for IEEE work items.

2. Participation and Voting Model
Specify whether this activity will be entity-based (participants are entities, which may have multiple representatives, one-entity-one-vote), or individual-based (participants represent themselves, one-person-one-vote).

Individual-based
3. Purpose

3.1. Motivation and Goal

Briefly explain the context and motivation for starting this IC activity, and the overall purpose or goal to be accomplished.

Motivation:

- Knowledge graphs constructed from public data about the world, including the outputs of scientific research and public collaborations, and world-models and algorithms derived from them, are important public resources. They benefit from being shared, and transparently versioned and sourced. However in most cases the public versions of these graphs and algorithms are incomplete, while many competing and redundant closed versions exist.
- In particular, the reliability and provenance of information is often hidden and bundled into a single assessment of reliability of the provider. Reliability of information is more effectively assessed when the information is read and used, benefiting from hindsight and context of use. Trying to establish the reliability of assertions when they are recorded is contrary to the principles of testing and fallibility.

We want to identify and support the creation of open, federated graphs of knowledge, using available protocols for storage and mirroring, alignment of different graphs, clustering and disambiguation, annotation, tracing and adding provenance. Separating the storage of knowledge + its known provenance + its implications about the world, from its inferred provenance and implications, and the evaluations of its truth or reliability by others.

We will focus on public knowledge and public interfaces, and on machine-mediated access and knowledge representations, for constructing world and local models for machine learning.

Goals:

- In the coming year, identifying existing knowledge bases and methods for automating constructions of new ones. Identifying existing protocols, and proposing new variations, to fill gaps needed to represent this knowledge. Identifying protocols and tools from the decentralized web for storing and accessing such graphs.
- Identifying partners and mechanisms for documentation and maintenance.
- Identifying individual data layers and graphs that are in demand, or that exist in partial form but need completion to be widely useful.

- In the following year, defining consortia of support, construction, and maintenance of core data layers identified previously.
- Providing training for researchers and data holders to use these tools to construct, publish, and share their own knowledge graphs, and to align them with large central graphs such as those maintained by Wikidata.
- Organizing technical workshops to share results and research papers.
3.2. **Related Work**
Provide a brief comparison of this activity to existing, related efforts or standards of which you are aware (industry associations, consortia, standardization activities, etc.).

There is overlap with Open Data, Open Government, and Big Data. Open Data may develop related standards, and Government data sets are some of the best suited to these initial efforts.
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3.3. **Previously Published Material**
Provide a list of any known previously published material intended for inclusion in the proposed deliverables of this activity.

There is literature about automated knowledge base construction and wikidata/dbpedia about Freebase during its development, and modern and in the literature of technical archives since then.

3.4. **Potential Markets Served**
Indicate the main beneficiaries of this work, and what the potential impact might be.

The primary beneficiaries are active users of current knowledge graphs at scale: research-heavy fields such as science and engineering; knowledge-heavy fields such as search and discovery, and governments and corporations that regularly mine large datasets for understanding.

3.5. **How will the activity benefit the IEEE?**

The intended outcomes would benefit the world by providing flexible, repurposable tools and protocols, by accelerating knowledge base construction, and by making existing knowledge bases more understandable by machine-learning systems. The activity would stimulate new interest in such collaborations and may help plan workshops linking theoretical models to industry implementations.

4. **Estimated Timeframe**
Indicate approximately how long you expect this activity to operate to achieve its proposed results (e.g., time to completion of all deliverables).

**Expected Completion Date: 12/2021**

IC activities are chartered for two years at a time. Activities are eligible for extension upon request and review by ICCom and the IEEE-SA Standards Board. Should an extension be required, please notify the ICCom Administrator prior to the two-year mark.

5. **Proposed Deliverables**
Outline the anticipated deliverables and output from this IC activity, such as documents (e.g., white papers, reports), proposals for standards, conferences and workshops, databases, computer code, etc., and indicate the expected timeframe for each.

- A proposal for one or more open standards.
- Identifying and recruiting a diverse set of participants, geographically and organizationally.
- A proof of concept reference implementation of a federated graph and interfaces to it.
- A proposal for continuing sustenance of related initiatives.

6. Funding Requirements
Outline any contracted services or other expenses that are currently anticipated, beyond the basic support services provided to all IC activities. Indicate how those funds are expected to be obtained (e.g., through participant fees, sponsorships, government or other grants, etc.). Activities needing substantial funding may require additional reviews and approvals beyond ICCom.

Participants are building shared data hosting and related tools, in addition to standards for openness, for which they are finding their own funding.

7. Management and Procedures

7.1. IEEE Sponsoring Committee
Indicate whether an IEEE sponsoring committee of some form (e.g., an IEEE Standards Sponsor) has agreed to oversee this activity and its procedures.

Has an IEEE sponsoring committee agreed to oversee this activity?: No

If yes, indicate the sponsoring committee’s name and its chair’s contact information.

Sponsoring Committee Name: none (ICCom)
Chair’s Name: --
Chair’s Email Address: --

7.2. Activity Management
If no IEEE sponsoring committee has been identified in 7.1 above, indicate how this activity will manage itself on a day-to-day basis (e.g., executive committee, officers, etc).

The Activity will be managed by an executive committee as defined in the Activity’s Policies and Procedures.

7.3. Procedures
Indicate what documented procedures will be used to guide the operations of this activity; either (a) modified baseline Industry Connections Activity Policies and Procedures, (b)
Sponsor policies and procedures accepted by the IEEE-SA Standards Board, or (c) Working Group policies and procedures accepted by the Working Group’s Sponsor. If option (a) is chosen, then ICCom review and approval of the P&P is required. If option (b) or (c) is chosen, then ICCom approval of the use of the P&P is required.

The Activity will follow a modified version of the Industry Connections Activity Baseline Policies and Procedures.

8. Participants

8.1. Stakeholder Communities
Indicate the stakeholder communities (the types of companies or other entities, or the different groups of individuals) that are expected to be interested in this IC activity, and will be invited to participate.

Patent researchers studying inventions + products
Economists studying the development of ideas and innovation
Free knowledge + OA researchers using the scholarly + patent citation graph
Editors relying on impact-factor algorithms to estimate their effectiveness
University department chairs and provosts relying on such tools for self-evaluation
Librarians who acquire access to such data for their patrons

8.2. Expected Number of Participants
Indicate the approximate number of entities (if entity-based) or individuals (if individual-based) expected to be actively involved in this activity.

About 20 in the first year.

8.3. Initial Participants
Provide a number of the entities or individuals that will be participating from the outset. It is recommended there be at least three initial participants for an entity-based activity, or five initial participants (each with a different affiliation) for an individual-based activity.

Use the following table for an entity-based activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Primary Contact</th>
<th>Additional Representatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entity Name</td>
<td>Contact Name</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use the following table for an individual-based activity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Employer</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James Evans</td>
<td>U.Chicago</td>
<td>Knowledge Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Klein</td>
<td>KFG</td>
<td>Wikipedia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmat Jefferson</td>
<td>QUT</td>
<td>Lens.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Weis</td>
<td>MIT Media Lab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Marx</td>
<td>BU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>