

IEEE Standards Interpretations for IEEE Std C37.04™-1999, IEEE Std C37.06™-2000, and IEEE Std C37.09™-1999

Copyright © 2010 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. 3 Park Avenue New York, New York 10016-5997 USA All Rights Reserved.

Interpretations are issued to explain and clarify the intent of a standard and do not constitute an alteration to the original standard. In addition, interpretations are not intended to supply consulting information. Permission is hereby granted to download and print one copy of this document. Individuals seeking permission to reproduce and/or distribute this document in its entirety or portions of this document must contact the IEEE Standards Department for the appropriate license. Use of the information contained in this document is at your own risk.

IEEE Standards Department Copyrights and Permissions 445 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, New Jersey 08855-1331, USA

October 2010

Interpretation Request #1

Topic: Rated Interrupting Time

What is the applicability of interrupting times determined by 50 Hz testing to applications of the high voltage circuit breaker when applied at 60Hz? Are the interrupting times obtained at 50 Hz 'converted' to a 60 Hz basis or added to the contact parting time 'raw'? Discussion:

- IEEE Std. C37.04-1999, 5.6 defines the rated interrupting time of a circuit breaker as the maximum permissible interval between the energizing of the trip circuit at rated control voltage and rated operating pressure for mechanical operation, and the interruption of the current in the main circuit in all poles. In discussing numerical values of interrupting time, IEEE Std C37.04-1999 uses 'cycles' as the unit of measure and clarifies that cycles are based on corresponding rated power frequency.
- IEEE Std. C37.06-2000 Table 1 provides for the preferred ratings of indoor circuit breakers including the rated interrupting time which is given in milliseconds.
- IEEE Std. C37.09-1999, 4.8.1.2 states: "If a circuit breaker contains interrupters that are not affected significantly by the di/dt, then tests performed at 50 Hz can be used to demonstrate the performance at 60 Hz and vice versa". For the purposes of this inquiry, let us assume that the interrupters under test fit this criterion.
- IEEE Std. C37.09-1999, 4.8.1.4 requires that in order to demonstrate the breaker is capable of meeting the requirements for the rated interrupting time, it must be shown that it can interrupt with the current zeros occurring in such relation to contact parting as to yield the longest arcing time. Further paragraphs define and discuss the arcing time in units of milliseconds.

High voltage circuit breakers which are tested at 50 Hz and subsequently applied at 60 Hz, or vice versa, may have their rated interrupting time defined by the sum of the contact parting time (at rated control voltage) and the maximum arcing time as determined during the design testing under C37.09 converted to the appropriate frequency base.

For example, for a circuit breaker with a 30 msec contact parting time which was tested at 50 Hz and determined to have a maximum arcing time of 22 msec. the following would be considered valid:

$$\frac{22 \text{ msec}}{20 \text{ msec/cycle}_{50\text{Hz}}} = 1.1 \text{ cycles} \cdot \frac{16.7 \text{ msec}}{\text{cycle}_{60\text{Hz}}} = 18.4 \text{ msec arcing time}$$

Equation 1: Conversion of Interrupting Time from 50 Hz to 60 Hz basis

If the above conversion is considered technically valid, then the breaker cited could be rated as a 50 msec ('3 cycle') breaker. If not accepted, then the next 'preferred' value for rated interrupting time would be 83 msec ('5 cycles').

Interpretation Response

The standards do not specifically address the conversion of rated interrupting timing test results from one power frequency to another at this time. This matter is being referred to the sponsor for possible action during the next revision.