

[Email This Letter](#)

15 September 2006

William R Goldbach
3225 Poinsetta CT
Chester, VA 23831 USA
wgoldbach@ieee.org

Re: PC62.64 - Standard Specifications for Surge Protectors Used in Low-Voltage Data, Communications, and Signaling Circuits

Dear William:

I am pleased to report that on 15 September 2006 the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved the extension request of the above-referenced project until 31 December 2008.

If you should have any further questions, please contact me at +1 732 562 6003 or by email at s.hampton@ieee.org.

Sincerely,

Sherry Hampton
Administrator, Governance
Standards Activities
Phone +1 732 562 6003
FAX +1 732 875 0695
Email: s.hampton@ieee.org

CC: d.dorr@ieee.org, stds-pes-scc@ieee.org, amartin@tycoelectronics.com

IEEE-SA Standards Board Extension Request

Revised 23 June 2004

1. Date of Request: 9-Jun-06
2. Assigned Project Number: PC62.64
3. Project Title: Standard Specifications for Surge Protectors Used in Low-Voltage Data, Communications, and Signaling Circuits
 - a. Name of Working Group (WG): WG3.6.7
 - b. Name of Working Group Chair: Al Martin
 - c. Name of Sponsoring Society and Committee: Power Engineering Society Surge Protective Devices/Low Voltage
 - d. Name of Sponsoring Committee Chair: Doug Dorr
4. Contact Information (Contact should be the person who will answer any questions concerning this extension request):
 - a. Name: Al Martin
 - b. Telephone: 650-361-5822
 - c. FAX:
 - d. EMAIL: amartin@tycoelectronics.com
5. Statement of why an extension is required. This should include a description of what the working group has accomplished and what remains to be accomplished, along with the reasons why the work was unable to be completed in the allotted timeframe

The WG thought that the document would be more useful if organized by application, and that has been done. A matrix of test type vs application has been created and populated with preliminary values. About one-third of the test-type subclauses have been reviewed and edited. The remaining test-type subclauses need to be reviewed and edited. In addition, the Test Matrix needs to be reviewed to insure adequate coverage of applications. The values in the Test Matrix need to be reviewed and finalized. Then the whole document needs to be reviewed, to produce a ballot draft.

The basic reason that the document will not be ready for ballot in the allotted time frame is that work was suspended for 3 meetings [May 2004 through May 2005], due to the need for the WG to work full-time to complete the revision of C62.43. The revision of C62.64 is extensive, and very much needed the lost year and a half of meeting time. A file showing the document status and planned future work is attached.

6. History
 - a. What date was the PAR first approved? 14-Aug-03
 - b. What date did you begin writing the first draft? 1-Oct-03
 - c. How many people are actively working on the project? 16
 - d. How many times a year does the working group meet:

1. In person? 2
2. Via teleconference? 0
- e. How many times a year is a draft circulated to the working group via electronic means? 2

7. Document Progress

- a. What percentage of the Draft is stable? 33%
- b. How many significant work revisions has the Draft been through? 4

8. Project Plan

(Item #8a is only for projects that have been balloted. If your draft has not yet gone to ballot, please go to Item #8b)

- a. Balloting History - Provide history of all IEEE Sponsor ballots under this project::

1st Ballot Close date (or scheduled close):

1st Ballot Draft Number:

1st Ballot results (% affirmative, %negative, %abstain):

2nd Ballot Close date (or scheduled close):

2nd Ballot Draft Number:

2nd Ballot results (% affirmative, %negative, %abstain):

(Add additional entries for ballots as needed):

When do you estimate that the final IEEE Sponsor ballot will be completed?

When do you expect to submit the proposed standard to RevCom?

- b. For projects that have not yet begun Sponsor ballot, please answer the following:**

When will IEEE sponsor balloting begin? 1-Nov-07

When do you estimate that the final IEEE Sponsor ballot will be completed? 31-Jan-08

When do you expect to submit the proposed standard to RevCom? 28-Feb-08

9. Future Adoptions

- If this is a new document, will it be adopted (in part or in whole) by another national, regional or international organization? Do Not Know If yes, which organization?
- If this is a revision of an existing document, has this document been adopted by the IEC, ISO, ETSI, SCC, etc? Do Not Know If yes, which organization?

10. Additional Extensions

a. Is this the first request for an extension? Yes (If yes, please do not go any further. You have completed the form.)

b. If not, when was the previous extension approved?

After completion of this form, please e-mail this to the NesCom Administrator at nescom-admin@ieee.org. Confirmation of submittal will be sent on receipt of this request.

[Email This Letter](#)

14 August 2003

William R Goldbach
Danaher Power Solutions
5900 Eastport Blvd, Bldg V
Richmond, VA 23231-4453
wgoldbach@danaher-DPS.com

Re: PC62.64 - Standard Specifications for Surge Protectors Used in Low-Voltage Data, Communications, and Signaling Circuits

Dear William R:

I am pleased to inform you that on 14 August 2003 the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved the above referenced project until 31 December 2006. A copy of the file can be found on our website at <http://standards.ieee.org/board/nes/projects/C62-64.pdf>.

Now that your project has been approved, please forward a roster of participants involved in the development of this project. This request is in accordance with the IEEE-SA Operations Manual, Clause 5.1.2f under Duties of the Sponsor which states:

"Submit annually to the IEEE Standards Department an electronic roster of individuals participating on standards projects"

For your convenience, an Excel spreadsheet for your use has been posted on our website at <http://standards.ieee.org/guides/par/roster.xls>. Please forward this list to me via e-mail at j.haasz@ieee.org no later than 11 November 2003.

Please visit our website, IEEE Standards Development Online (<http://standards.ieee.org/resources/development/index.html>), for tools, forms and training to assist you in the standards development process. Also, we strongly recommend that a copy of your draft be sent to this office for review prior to the final vote by the working group to allow for a quick review by editorial staff before sponsor balloting begins.

If you should have any further questions, please contact me at 732-562-6367 or by email at j.haasz@ieee.org.

Sincerely,

Jodi Haasz
Program Manager
International Stds Programs and Governance
Standards Activities
Phone +1 732 562 6367
FAX +1 208 460 5300
Email: j.haasz@ieee.org

cc: bcurry@raychem.com, jwoodworth@ieee.org, stds-pes-scc@ieee.org

PAR FORM

PAR Status: Revision of Revision PAR
PAR Approval Date: 2003-08-14
PAR Signature Page on File: Yes
Review of Standards Development Process: No

1. Assigned Project Number: C62.64

2. Sponsor Date of Request: 2003-06-12

3. Type of Document: Standard for

4. Title of Document:

Draft: Standard Specifications for Surge Protectors Used in Low-Voltage Data, Communications, and Signaling Circuits

5. Life Cycle: Full Use

6. Type of Project:

6a. Is this an update to an existing PAR? Yes

If Yes: Indicated PAR number/approval date: C62.64 - 2002-12-11

If Yes: Is this Project in Ballot now? No

6b. The Project is a: Revision of Std 62.64-1997

7. Contact Information of Working Group:

Name of Working Group: 3.6.7

Name of Working Group Chair: Bill Curry

Telephone: 919 557-8552 **FAX:** 9195578595

Email: bcurry@raychem.com

8. Contact Information of Official Reporter (If different than Working Group Chair)

Name of Official Reporter: (if different than WG contact)

Telephone: FAX:

Email:

9. Contact Information of Sponsoring Society or Standards Coordinating Committee:

Name of Sponsoring Society and Committee: Power Engineering Society Surge Protective Devices/Low Voltage

Name of Sponsoring Committee Chair: Jonathan J Woodworth

Telephone: 716-375-7278 **FAX:** 716-375-7202

Email: jwoodworth@ieee.org

Name of Liaison Rep. (If different than Sponsor Chair): William R Goldbach

Telephone: 804-236-3302 **FAX:** 804-236-4040

Email: wgoldbach@danaher-DPS.com

10. The Type of ballot is: Individual Sponsor Ballot

Expected Date of Submission for Initial Sponsor Ballot: 2004-05-10

11. Fill in Projected Completion Date for Submittal to RevCom: 2005-03-01

Explanation for Revised PAR that Completion date is being extended past the original four-year life of the PAR:

12. Scope of Proposed Project:

This standard is to be revised to include new technology and devices and like the original will provide preferred values and parameters used to specify the performance of these surge protectors. This standard will be coordinated with its

companion document C62.36.

13. Purpose of Proposed Project:

This revision will add new technology and devices and will assist the user in the specification and use of these data, signaling and communication devices. Information will be added on a Multiport SPD and will harmonize with C62.36.

14. Intellectual Property:

Sponsor has reviewed the IEEE patent policy with the working group? Yes

Sponsor is aware of copyrights relevant to this project? No

Sponsor is aware of trademarks relevant to this project? No

Sponsor is aware of possible registration of objects or numbers due to this project? No

15. Are there other documents or projects with a similar scope? No

Similar Scope Project Information:

16. Is there potential for this document (in part or in whole) to be submitted to an international organization for review/adoption? Do not Know

If yes, please answer the following questions:

Which International Organization/Committee?

International Contact

Information?

17. If the project will result in any health, safety, or environmental guidance that affects or applies to human health or safety, please explain, in five sentences or less. No

18. Additional Explanatory Notes: (Item Number and Explanation)

This is a Revised PAR submittal. The purpose is to change the title of the document back to its original. The title had been changed during the original PAR review. The Working Group writing this revision voted to retain the original title since any abbreviation or change would leave out important distinctions or would be incorrect.